Codes and Standards Title 24 Energy-Efficient Local Ordinances

Title:

Climate Zone 6 Energy Cost-Effectiveness Study

Prepared for:

Randall Higa Senior Engineer Southern California Edison 626.815.7259

Email: Randall.Higa@sce.com

Prepared by:

Michael Gabel Gabel Associates, LLC 510.428.0803

Email: mike@gabelenergy.com

Last Modified: December 24, 2009



LEGAL NOTICE

This report was prepared by Southern California Edison Company and funded by the California utility customers under the auspices of the California Public Utilities Commission.

Copyright 2009 Southern California Edison Company. All rights reserved, except that this document may be used, copied, and distributed without modification.

Neither SCE nor any of its employees makes any warranty, express of implied; or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness or usefulness of any data, information, method, product, policy or process disclosed in this document; or represents that its use will not infringe any privately-owned rights including, but not limited to, patents, trademarks or copyrights.

Table of Contents

1.0	Executive Summary	1
2.0	Impacts of Exceeding the 2008 Title 24 Standards	2
3.0	Cost Effectiveness	18

1.0 Executive Summary

This report presents the results of Gabel Associates' research, analysis and review of the feasibility and energy cost-effectiveness of building permit applicants exceeding the 2008 Building Energy Efficiency Standards by 15% in Climate Zone 6 in several case studies which reflect a variety of building types.

The study contained in this report may be useful in several ways to local governments who are considering adoption of green building ordinances. First, as a source of information to better understand and discuss the energy cost-effectiveness of exceeding the state's energy standards within a local ordinance; and second, as the cost-effectiveness study that may be included in an application to the California Energy Commission (CEC) by a local government seeking to meet the requirements specified in Section 10-106 of the California Code of Regulations, Title 24, Part 1, Locally Adopted Energy Standards.

The energy requirements of a local green building ordinance are not legally enforceable until the CEC has reviewed and approved the local energy standards as fulfilling all requirements of Section 10-106, the Ordinance has been adopted by the local jurisdiction and has filed with the Building Standards Commission.

The 2008 Building Energy Efficiency Standards, effective January 1, 2010, have been used as the baseline used in calculating the energy performance of efficiency measures summarized in this study.

2.0 Impacts of Exceeding the 2008 Title 24 Standards

The energy performance impacts of exceeding the performance requirements of the 2008 Title 24 Building Energy Efficiency Standards have been evaluated in Climate Zone 6 using several prototypical designs which collectively reflect a broad range of building types, including:

- Single family house: 2-story 2,025 sf
- Single family house: 2-story 4,500 sf
- Low-rise Multi-family building, 8 dwelling units: 2-story 8,442 sf
- High-rise Multi-family building, 40 dwelling units: 4-story 36,800 sf Nonresidential office building: 1-story, 10,580 sf
- Nonresidential office building: 5-story, 52,900 sf

The methodology used in the case studies is based on a design process for buildings that meet or exceed the energy standards, and includes the following:

- (a) Each prototype building design is tested for compliance with the 2008 Standards, and the mix of energy measures are adjusted using common construction options so the building first just meets the Standards. The set of energy measures chosen represent a reasonable combination which reflects how designers, builders and developers are likely to achieve a specified level of performance using a relatively low first incremental (additional) cost
- (b) Starting with that set of measures which is minimally compliant with the 2008 Standards, various energy measures are upgraded so that the building just exceeds the 2008 standards by 15%. The design choices by the consultant authoring this study are based on many years of experience with architects, builders, mechanical engineers; and general knowledge of the relative acceptance and preferences of many measures, as well as their incremental costs. This approach tends to reflect how building energy performance is typically evaluated for code compliance and how it's used to select design energy efficiency measures. Note that lowest simple payback with respect to building site energy is not the primary focus of selecting measures; but rather the requisite reduction of Title 24 Time Dependent Valuation(TDV) energy at a reasonable incremental cost consistent with other non-monetary but important design considerations.
- (c) A minimum and maximum range of incremental costs of added energy efficiency measures is established by a variety of research means. A construction cost estimator, Building Advisory LLC, was contracted to conduct research to obtain current measure cost information for many energy measures; and Gabel Associates performed its own additional research to establish first cost data. Site energy in kWh and therms, is calculated from the Title 24 simulation results to establish the annual energy savings, energy cost savings and CO2-equivalent reductions in greenhouse gases.

2.1 Single Family Homes

The following energy design descriptions of single family building prototypes <u>just meet</u> the 2008 Title 24 Building Energy Efficiency Standards in Climate Zone 6:

CZ6: Single Family House 2,025 square feet, 2-story, 20.2% glazing/floor area ratio

Energy Efficiency Measures

R-38 Roof w/ Radiant Barrier

R-13 Walls

R-0 Slab on Grade

R-30 Raised Floor over Garage/Open at 2nd Floor

Low E2 Vinyl Windows, U=0.36, SHGC=0.30

Furnace: 80% AFUE Air Conditioner: None

R-8 Attic Ducts

50 Gallon Gas Water Heater: EF=0.62

CZ6: Single Family House 4,500 square feet, 2-story, 22.0% glazing/floor area ratio

Energy Efficiency Measures

R-19 Roof w/o Radiant Barrier

R-13 Walls

R-19 Raised Floor

Low E2 Vinyl Windows, U=0.36, SHGC=0.30

(2) Furnaces: 80% AFUE
Air Conditioner: None

R-4.2 Attic Ducts

(2) Instantaneous Gas Water Heater: RE=0.80

Energy Efficiency Measures Needed to Meet the Ordinance

The following tables list the energy features and/or equipment included in the Title 24 base design, the efficient measure options, and an estimate of the incremental cost for each measure included to improve the building performance to use 15% less TDV energy than the corresponding Title 24 base case design.

2025 sf

Climate Zone 6

Energy Efficiency Measures	Change	Incremental Cost Estimate					
7007/S 98	Type		Min		Max		Avg
R-38 Roof w/ Radiant Barrier	-	\$	-	\$	= ,	\$	-
R-21 Walls (from R-13): 2,550 sf @ \$0.45 to \$0.70/sf	Upgrade	\$	1,148	\$	1,785	\$	1,466
R-0 Slab on Grade	=	\$	() 	\$	₩)	\$	ī
R-19 Raised Floor over Garage/Open at 2nd Floor (from							
R-30): 448 sf @ \$0.25 to <u>\$</u> 0.35/sf	Downgrade	\$	(157)	\$	(112)	\$	(134)
Low E2 Vinyl Windows, U=0.36, SHGC=0.30	=	\$	()	\$		\$	-
Furnace: 80% AFUE	=	\$	5 =	\$	-	\$	ľ
Air Conditioner: None	22	\$	74	\$	==	\$	-
R-8 Attic Ducts	37 2	\$	ě	\$	(1)	\$	Ë
Reduced Duct Leakage/Testing (HERS)	Upgrade	\$	300	\$	600	\$	450
50 Gallon Gas Water Heater: EF=0.62	=	\$:B	\$	*	\$	=
Total Incremental Cost of Energy Efficiency Measures:		\$	1,291	\$	2,273	\$	1,782
Total Incremental Cost per Square Foot:		\$	0.64	\$	1.12	\$	0.88

Incremental Cost Estimate to Exceed Title 24 by 15% Single Family Prototype: 2,025 SF, Option 2

2025 sf

Energy Efficiency Measures	Change	Increme	stir	stimate		
VACOUR VAR	Type	Min	Max		Avg	
R-19 Roof w/ Radiant Barrier (from R-38 w/Radiant Barrier):						
1,443 sf @ 0.30 to 0.45/sf	Downgrade	\$ (649)	\$ (433)	\$	(541)	
R-19 Walls (from R-13): 2,550 sf @ \$0.31 to \$0.54/sf	Upgrade	\$ 791	\$ 1,377	\$	1,084	
R-0 Slab on Grade	0.50	\$ 100	\$ -	\$	18	
R-19 Raised Floor over Garage/Open at 2nd Floor (from						
R-30): 448 sf @ \$0.25 to <u>\$</u> 0.35/sf	Downgrade	\$ (157)	\$ (112)	\$	(134)	
Quality Insulation Installation (HERS)	Upgrade	\$ 450	\$ 600	69	525	
Low E2 Vinyl Windows, U=0.36, SHGC=0.30	-	\$ (#)	\$ 20	\$	[#	
Furnace: 80% AFUE	15	\$ =	\$ =	\$	7.=	
Air Conditioner: None	:=:	\$ (=)	\$ -	\$		
R-6 Attic Ducts (from R-8)	Downgrade	\$ (325)	\$ (225)	\$	(275)	
Reduced Duct Leakage/Testing (HERS)	Upgrade	\$ 300	\$ 600	\$	450	
50 Gallon Gas Water Heater: EF=0.62	1.5	\$ 123	\$ -	\$	10.00	
Pipe Insulation	Upgrade	\$ 150	\$ 200	\$	175	
Total Incremental Cost of Energy Efficiency Measures:		\$ 559	\$ 2,007	\$	1,283	
Total Incremental Cost per Square Foot:		\$ 0.28	\$ 0.99	\$	0.63	

Incremental Cost Estimate to Exceed Title 24 by 15%

Single Family Prototype: 4,500 SF, Option 1 4500 sf Climate Zone 6

Energy Efficiency Measures	Change		Incremental Cost Estimate					
500-002 Total	Туре		Min	ŝ	Max		Avg	
R-30 Roof w/ Radiant Barrier (from R-19 w/o Radiant Barrier):								
2,700 sf @ 0.50 to 0.65/sf	Upgrade	\$	1,350	\$	1,755	\$	1,553	
R-13 Walls	=	\$	<u> </u>	\$	P=	\$	V=6	
R-19 Raised Floor		\$	⊞ %	\$	160	\$	I.E.A	
Low E2 Vinyl Windows, U=0.36, SHGC=0.30		\$	=:	\$	-	\$		
(2) Furnaces: 80% AFUE	(=)	\$	=0	\$	-	\$	-	
Air Conditioner: None	=	\$	Œ/t	\$	72	\$	A23	
R-6 Attic Ducts (from R-4.2)	(8)	\$	(6)	\$	E	\$	(#)	
Reduced Duct Leakage/Testing (HERS)	Upgrade	\$	600	\$	1,200	\$	900	
(2) Instantaneous Gas Water Heater: RE=0.80	-	\$	-	\$	1-	\$	-	
Pipe Insulation (1705 sf house)	Upgrade	\$	300	\$	400	\$	350	
Total Incremental Cost of Energy Efficiency Measures:			2,250	\$	3,355	\$	2,803	
Total Incremental Cost per Square Foot:		\$	0.50	\$	0.75	\$	0.62	

Incremental Cost Estimate to Exceed Title 24 by 15% Single Family Prototype: 4,500 SF, Option 2

4500 sf

Energy Efficiency Measures	Change	Incremental Cost Estimate						
73.00 No. 120.	Type		Min		Max	Avg		
R-30 Roof w/ Radiant Barrier (from R-19 w/o Radiant Barrier):								
2,700 sf @ 0.50 to 0.65/sf	Upgrade	\$	1,350	\$	1,755	\$	1,553	
R-15 Walls (from R-13): 2,518 sf @ \$0.14 to \$0.18/sf	Upgrade	\$	353	\$	453	\$	403	
R-19 Raised Floor	-	\$	æ/i	\$	65	\$	100	
Quality Insulation Installation (HERS)	Upgrade	\$	450	\$	600	\$	525	
Low E2 Vinyl Windows, U=0.36, SHGC=0.30	=	\$	₩0	\$	=	\$	(=)	
(2) Furnaces: 80% AFUE	=	\$	2 <u>2</u> V	\$	P2	\$	\ <u></u>	
Air Conditioner: None		\$	3 0	\$	E	\$	(#)	
R-4.2 Attic Ducts	·=/	\$		\$	1.	\$	-	
(2) Instantaneous Gas Water Heater: RE=0.80	1=1	\$		\$:-	\$	-	
Total Incremental Cost of Energy Efficiency Measures:		\$	2,153	\$	2,808	\$	2,480	
Total Incremental Cost per Square Foot:		\$	0.48	\$	0.62	\$	0.55	

AEA	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$	
450	υ	ST

Climate Zone 6

Energy Efficiency Measures	Change	Incremental Cost Estimate						
35,000	Туре		Min	8	Max		Avg	
R-19 Roof w/ Radiant Barrier (from R-19 w/o Radiant Barrier):								
2,700 sf @ 0.25 to 0.30/sf	Upgrade	\$	675	\$	810	\$	743	
R-21 Walls (from R-13): 2,518 sf @ \$0.45 to \$0.50/sf	Upgrade	\$	1,133	\$	1,259	\$	1,196	
R-19 Raised Floor		\$		\$	157	\$		
Low E2 Vinyl Windows, U=0.36, SHGC=0.30	=	\$	-	\$	-	\$		
(2) Furnaces: 80% AFUE	(4)	\$	14 0	\$	©=	\$	=	
Air Conditioner: None	=	\$	22V	\$	92	\$	A rr	
R-4.2 Attic Ducts	E	\$	*	\$	E.	\$	(=)	
(2) Instantaneous Gas Water Heater: RE=0.82 (from 0.80)	Upgrade	\$	400	\$	600	\$	500	
Total Incremental Cost of Energy Efficiency Measures:				\$	2,669	\$	2,439	
Total Incremental Cost per Square Foot:				\$	0.59	\$	0.54	

2.2 Low-rise Multi-family Residential Building

The following is the energy design description of the low-rise multifamily building prototype which just meets the 2008 Title 24 Building Energy Efficiency Standards:

CZ6: Low-rise Multi-family: 2-story 8,442 square feet, 8 units, 12.5% glazing

Energy Efficiency Measures

R-19 Roof w/ Radiant Barrier

R-13 Walls

R-0 Slab on Grade

Low E Vinyl Windows, U=0.40, SHGC=0.36

(8) Furnaces: 80% AFUE Air Conditioners: None

R-4.2 Attic Ducts

(8) 40 Gallon Gas Water Heaters: EF=0.60

Energy Efficiency Measures Needed to Meet the Ordinance

The following tables list the energy features and/or equipment included in the Title 24 base design, the efficient measure options, and an estimate of the incremental cost for each measure included to improve the building performance to use 15% less TDV energy than the corresponding Title 24 base case design.

Climate Zone 6 Energy Measures Needed to Meet the Ordinance

Incremental Cost Estimate to Exceed Title 24 by 15% Single Family Prototype: 8,442 SF, Option 1

8442 sf Climate Zone 6

Energy Efficiency Measures	Change	Incremental Cost Estimate						
	Type		Min	8	Max		Avg	
R-30 Roof w/ Radiant Barrier (from R-19 w/Radiant Barrier):								
4,221 sf @ 0.25 to 0.35/sf	Upgrade	\$	1,055	\$	1,477	\$	1,266	
R-21 Walls (from R-13): 10,146 sf @ \$0.45 to \$0.70/sf	Upgrade	\$	4,566	\$	7,102	\$	5,834	
R-0 Slab on Grade		\$	- 24	\$	-	\$	-	
Low E Vinyl Windows, U=0.40, SHGC=0.36	(#)	\$	=0	\$	1	\$:=	
(8) Furnaces: 80% AFUE	=	\$	= 0	\$	3 2	\$		
Air Conditioners: None	=	\$	2 <u>2</u> V	\$	12	\$	8 ⊒	
R-8 Attic Ducts (from R-4.2)	Upgrade	\$	2,000	\$	3,000	\$	2,500	
(8) 40 Gallon Gas Water Heaters: EF=0.63 (from EF=0.60)	Upgrade	\$	800	\$	2,000	\$	1,400	
Total Incremental Cost of Energy Efficiency Measures:			8,421	\$	13,580	\$	11,000	
Total Incremental Cost per Square Foot:			1.00	\$	1.61	\$	1.30	

Incremental Cost Estimate to Exceed Title 24 by 15%

Single Family Prototype: 8,442 SF, Option 2 8442 sf Climate Zone 6

Energy Efficiency Measures	Change	Incremental Cost Estimate					mate
	Type		Min	Min f			Avg
R-19 Roof w/ Radiant Barrier	-	\$	-0	\$	1-	\$	-
R-13 Walls	9	69	₩	\$	3 2	\$	=
R-0 Slab on Grade	=	\$	2 0	\$	12	\$	NET
Dual Clear Vinyl Windows, U=0.50, SHGC=0.60 (from Low E,							
U=0.40, SHGC=0.36): 1,055 sf @ \$1.40 - \$1.75 / sf	Downgrade	\$	(1,846)	\$	(1,477)	\$	(1,662)
(8) Furnaces: 80% AFUE	=	\$	≥ 0	\$	3 =	\$	-
Air Conditioners: None	=-	\$	277	\$	PD	\$	\ <u>=</u>
R-4.2 Attic Ducts	(5)	\$	3]	\$	13	\$) =)
(8) Instantaneous Gas Water Heaters: EF=0.79 (from (8) 40							
Gallon Gas, 0.60 EF)	Upgrade	\$	7,600	\$	13,600	\$	10,600
Total Incremental Cost of Energy Efficiency Measures:			5,754	\$	12,123	\$	8,938
Total Incremental Cost per Square Foot:			0.68	\$	1.44	\$	1.06

2.3 High-rise Multifamily Building

The following is the energy design description of the high-rise multifamily building prototype which just meets the 2008 Title 24 Building Energy Efficiency Standards:

Title 24 Base Case Design for Options 1 & 2

Energy Efficiency Measures to Meet Title 24

R-26 (4") rigid insulation; Cool Roof Reflectance=0.30,

Emittance=0.75

R-19 in Metal Frame Walls

R-4 (1.25") Raised Slab over parking garage

Metal Windows, NFRC U=0.66, SHGC=0.39

PTC 1-ton units: COP=3, EER=11.1

Central DHW boiler: 95% AFUE and recirculating system w/ timer-

temperature controls

Title 24 Base Case Design for Option 3

Energy Efficiency Measures to Meet Title 24

R-26 (4") rigid insulation; No Cool Roof

R-19 in Metal Frame Walls

R-2 (5/8") Raised Slab over parking garage

Default Dual Metal Windows, U=0.79, SHGC=0.70

2-pipe fan coil, 80% AFUE boiler, no cooling

Central DHW boiler: 80% AFUE and recirculating system w/ timer-

temperature controls

CZ6: High-rise Residential: 4-story 36,800 sf, 40 units, Window Wall Ratio=35.2%

Energy Efficiency Measures Needed to Meet the Ordinance

The following tables list the energy features and/or equipment included in the Title 24 base design, the efficient measure options, and an estimate of the incremental cost for each measure included to improve the building performance to use 15% less TDV energy than the corresponding Title 24 base case design.

Incremental Cost Estimate to Exceed Title 24 by 15% <u>High-rise Residential Prototype: 36,800 SF, Option 1</u>

Climate Zone 6

Energy Efficiency Measures to Exceed Title 24 by 15%	Change	Incremental Cost Estimate					
100 OF	Туре		Min		Max	Avg	
R-26 (4") rigid insulation; No Cool Roof,							
9,200 sf @\$0.30 - \$0.40 sf	Downgrade	\$	(3,174)	\$	(4,232)	\$	(3,703)
R-19 in Metal Frame Walls	=>	\$	₩)	\$	\$ 3	\$	(=
R-4 (1.25" K-13 spray-on) Raised Slab over parking garage		\$	420	\$	(<u>-</u> 2)	\$	1=1
Metal Windows, NFRC U=0.71, SHGCc=0.27;							
6,240 sf @ \$0.10 to \$0.35/sf	Upgrade	\$	920	\$	3,220	\$	2,070
PTC 1-ton units: COP=3, EER=11.1		\$	₩)	\$	-	\$	(=)
Central DHW boiler: 95% AFUE and recirculating system w/ timer-							
temperature controls	=	\$	-	\$	16	\$	18
Solar Hot Water System, 30% Net Solar Fraction	Upgrade	\$	40,000	\$	55,000	\$	47,500
Total Incremental Cost of Energy Efficiency Measures:			37,746	\$	53,988	\$	45,867
Total Incremental Cost per Square Foot:		\$	1.03	\$	1.47	\$	1.25

Incremental Cost Estimate to Exceed Title 24 by 15% <u>High-rise Residential Prototype: 36,800 SF, Option 2</u>

Energy Efficiency Measures to Exceed Title 24 by 15%	Change	Incremental Cost Estimate					
1000 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10	Type		Min		Max		Avg
R-26 (4") rigid insulation; Cool Roof Refl=0.55, Emitt=0.75							
9,200 sf @\$0.15 - \$0.20 sf	Upgrade	\$	1,380	\$	1,840	\$	1,610
R-19 in Metal Frame Walls	=	\$	1	\$	(=	\$	(=)
R-6 (2" K-13 spray-on) Raised Slab over parking garage							
9,200 sf @0.70 to \$1.00 sf	Upgrade	\$	6,440	\$	9,200	\$	7,820
Vinyl Super Low-E, NFRC U=0.39, SHGCc=0.23;							
6,240 sf @ \$1.40 to \$1.60/sf	Upgrade	\$	8,736	\$	9,984	\$	9,360
PTC 1-ton units: COP=3, EER=11.1	1	\$	-	\$	=	\$	-
Central DHW boiler: 95% AFUE and recirculating system w/ timer-							
temperature controls		\$	=1	\$.=	\$	=
Solar Hot Water System, 5% Net Solar Fraction	Upgrade	\$	8,000	\$	10,000	\$	9,000
Total Incremental Cost of Energy Efficiency Measures:		\$	24,556	\$	31,024	\$	27,790
Total Incremental Cost per Square Foot:		\$	0.67	\$	0.84	\$	0.76

Incremental Cost Estimate to Exceed Title 24 by 15% High-rise Residential Prototype: 36,800 SF, Option 3

Energy Efficiency Measures to Exceed Title 24 by 15%	Change	Incremental Cost Estimate					
9/65 339 adv	Type		Min	Max			Avg
R-26 (4") rigid insulation; No Cool Roof	=	\$	=:1	\$	K a .	\$	15
R-19 in Metal Frame Walls	=	\$	=0	\$	-	\$	
R-6 (2" K-13 spray-on) Raised Slab over parking garage		2					
9,200 sf @0.70 to \$1.00 sf	-	\$	220	\$	-	\$	-
Metal Low-E, NFRC U=0.66, SHGC=0.39; 6,240		Г				Г	
sf @ \$5.00 to \$8.00/sf	Upgrade	\$	31,200	\$	49,920	\$	40,560
PTC 1-ton units: COP=3, EER=11.1		\$	= 0	\$	-	\$	/=
Central DHW boiler: 95% AFUE and recirculating system w/ timer-							
temperature controls	(15) (45)	\$	(3)	\$		\$	Н
Total Incremental Cost of Energy Efficiency Measures:	,	\$	31,200	\$	49,920	\$	40,560
Total Incremental Cost per Square Foot:		\$	0.85	\$	1.36	\$	1.10

2.4 Nonresidential Buildings

The following energy design descriptions of nonresidential building prototypes <u>just meet</u> the 2008 Title 24 Building Energy Efficiency Standards in Climate Zone 6:

CZ6: Nonresidential 1-story office building: 10,580 sf, Window Wall Ratio= 37.1%

Title 24 Base Case Design, Options 1 and 2

Energy Efficiency Measures to Meet Title 24
R-19 on Metal Span Deck, Cool Roof Refl.=0.69, Emitt=0.75
R-19 in Metal Frame Walls
R-0 (un-insulated) slab-on-grade 1st floor
Dual metal glazing U=0.71 and SHGCc=0.52, 3' overhangs
Lighting = 0.858 w/sf: Open Office Areas: (60) 2-lamp T8 fixtures
@58w each; no lighting controls; (24) 18w recessed CFLs. Small
Offices: (56 2-lamp T8 fixtures, mandatory (on/off) ocupancy
sensors; (40) 18w recessed CFLs. Support Areas: (32) 18w
recessed CFLs; (48) 13w CFL wall sconces; no controls.
(4) 10-ton Packaged DX units EER=11.0, 4,000 cfm; 80% AFUE
furnaces; all standard efficiency fan motors
R-8 duct insulation w/ ducts on the roof
Standard 50 gallon gas water heater, EF=0.58

Title 24 Base Case Design, Option 3

Energy Efficiency Measures to Meet Title 24
R-19 on Metal Span Deck, Cool Roof Refl.=0.69, Emitt=0.75
R-19 in Metal Frame Walls
R-0 (un-insulated) slab-on-grade 1st floor
Dual metal glazing U=0.71 and SHGCc=0.52, 3' overhangs
Lighting = 0.858 w/sf: Open Office Areas: (60) 2-lamp T8 fixtures
@58w each; no lighting controls; (24) 18w recessed CFLs. Small
Offices: (56 2-lamp T8 fixtures, mandatory (on/off) ocupancy
sensors; (40) 18w recessed CFLs. Support Areas: (32) 18w
recessed CFLs; (48) 13w CFL wall sconces; no controls.
(8) 5-ton Packaged DX units SEER=13.0, 2,000 cfm; 93% AFUE
furnaces; all standard efficiency fan motors
R-8 duct insulation w/ ducts on the roof
Standard 50 gallon gas water heater, EF=0.58

Energy Efficiency Measures Needed to Meet the Ordinance

The following tables list the energy features and/or equipment included in the Title 24 base design, the efficient measure options, and an estimate of the incremental cost for each measure included to improve the building performance to use 15% less TDV energy than the corresponding Title 24 base case design.

Incremental Cost Estimate to Exceed Title 24 by 15% Nonresidential Prototype: 10,580 SF, Option 1

Climate Zone 6

Energy Efficiency Measures to Exceed Title 24 by 15% Change			Incremental Cost Es					
600 (600) (600) (600)	Туре		Min	/lin Max			Avg	
R-19 on Metal Span Deck, Cool Roof Refl.=0.69, Emitt=0.75	-	\$	-8	\$	18	\$	100	
R-19 in Metal Frame Walls		\$	14 0	\$	-	\$	(=)	
R-0 (un-insulated) slab-on-grade 1st floor	=	\$	A220	\$	92	\$	##4	
Dual metal glazing U=0.71 and SHGCc=0.27, 3' overhangs								
3,200 sf @ \$2.50 to \$3.50/sf	Upgrade	\$	8,000	\$	11,200	\$	9,600	
Lighting = 0.858 w/sf: Open Office Areas: (60) 2-lamp T8 fixtures								
@58w each; no lighting controls; (24) 18w recessed CFLs. Small								
Offices: (56 2-lamp T8 fixtures, mandatory (on/off) ocupancy								
sensors; (40) 18w recessed CFLs. Support Areas: (32) 18w								
recessed CFLs; (48) 13w CFL wall sconces; no controls.	=	\$	= 0	\$	=	\$	-	
(4) 10-ton Packaged DX units EER=11.0, 4,000 cfm; 80% AFUE								
furnaces; all standard efficiency fan motors	=	\$		\$	R -	\$	=	
R-8 duct insulation w/ ducts on roof: sealed w/ HERS testing Upgrade		\$	2,000	\$	3,000	\$	2,500	
Standard 50 gallon gas water heater, EF=0.58 -				\$	=	\$	=	
Total Incremental Cost of Energy Efficiency Measures:			10,000	\$	14,200	\$	12,100	
Total Incremental Cost per Square Foot:			0.95	\$	1.34	\$	1.14	

Incremental Cost Estimate to Exceed Title 24 by 15% Nonresidential Prototype: 10,580 SF, Option 2

Energy Efficiency Measures to Exceed Title 24 by 15% Change			Increme	stimate			
STATE OF THE STATE	Type	Min			Min Max		
R-24 on Metal Span Deck, Cool Roof Refl.=0.69, Emitt=0.75	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	1881
R-19 in Metal Frame Walls		\$	14 0	\$	-	\$	=
R-0 (un-insulated) slab-on-grade 1st floor	224	\$	A297	\$	92	\$	24
Dual metal glazing U=0.71 and SHGCc=0.27, 3' overhangs							
3,200 sf @ \$2.50 to \$3.50/sf	Upgrade	\$	8,000	\$	11,200	\$	9,600
Lighting = 0.858 w/sf: Open Office Areas: (60) 2-lamp T8 fixtures					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
@58w each; no lighting controls; (24) 18w recessed CFLs. Small							
Offices: (56) 2-lamp T8 fixtures, (28) multi-level ocupancy sensors							
@ \$75 to \$100 each; (40) 18w recessed CFLs. Support Areas:							
(32) 18w recessed CFLs; (48) 13w CFL wall sconces; no controls.	Upgrade	\$	2,100	\$	2,800	\$	2,450
(4) 10-ton Packaged DX units EER=11.0, 4,000 cfm; 80% AFUE	10 - 51						
furnaces; all standard efficiency fan motors	-	\$	-21	\$	1-	\$	-
R-8 duct insulation w/ ducts on the roof		\$	-	\$	-	\$	I
Standard 50 gallon gas water heater, EF=0.58 -				\$	Se .	\$	=
Total Incremental Cost of Energy Efficiency Measures:			10,100	\$	14,000	\$	12,050
Total Incremental Cost per Square Foot:			0.95	\$	1.32	\$	1.14

Energy Efficiency Measures to Exceed Title 24 by 15% Change			Increm	ent	al Cost E	Estimate		
\$66G \$19 \$14	Type	Min			Max	Avg		
R-24 on Metal Span Deck, Cool Roof Refl.=0.69, Emitt=0.75		\$	-	\$		\$	in the	
R-19 in Metal Frame Walls	Ð	\$	=>	\$	æ	\$	=	
R-0 (un-insulated) slab-on-grade 1st floor	(=)	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
Dual metal glazing U=0.71 and SHGCc=0.40, 3' overhangs								
3,200 sf @ \$1.50 to \$2.50/sf	Upgrade	\$	4,800	\$	8,000	\$	6,400	
Lighting = 0.858 w/sf: Open Office Areas: (60) 2-lamp T8 fixtures			~		***			
@58w each; no lighting controls; (24) 18w recessed CFLs. Small								
Offices: (56) 2-lamp T8 fixtures, mandatory (on/off) ocupancy								
sensors; (40) 18w recessed CFLs. Support Areas: (32) 18w								
recessed CFLs; (48) 13w CFL wall sconces; no controls.	=	\$	-1	\$	1-	\$	=	
(8) 5-ton Packaged DX units SEER=13.0, 2,000 cfm; 93% AFUE								
furnaces; fixed-temp integrated air-economizers	E	\$	3,600	\$	4,800	\$	4,200	
R-8 duct insulation w/ ducts on roof: sealed w/ HERS testing	Upgrade	\$	2,000	\$	3,000	\$	2,500	
Standard 50 gallon gas water heater, EF=0.58 -				\$		\$	-	
Total Incremental Cost of Energy Efficiency Measures:				\$	15,800	\$	13,100	
Total Incremental Cost per Square Foot:				\$	1.49	\$	1.24	

CZ6: Nonresidential 5-story office building: 52,900 sf, Window Wall Ratio= 29.1%

Title 24 Base Case Design, Option 1

Energy Efficiency Measures to Meet Title 24
R-19 on Metal Deck; cool roof Reflect=0.55, Emittance=0.75
R-19 in Metal Frame Walls
R-0 (un-insulated) slab-on-grade 1st floor
NFRC glazing U=0.57, SHGC=0.407 (COG SHGC=0.38)
Lighting = 0.802 w/sf: Open Office Areas: (300) 2-lamp T8 fixtures
@58w each; no lighting controls; (120) 18w recessed CFLs. Small
Offices: (280) 2-lamp T8 fixtures, (140) multi-level ocupancy
sensors on T8s; (200) 18w recessed CFLs. Support Areas: (160)
18w recessed CFLs; (240) 13w CFL wall sconces; no controls.
(5) 40-ton Packaged VAV units EER=9.5; 78% TE furnaces;
standard efficiency fan motors; 20% VAV boxes w/ electric reheat;
DDC controls; differential temp. integrated air economizers
R-8 duct insulation w/ ducts in conditioned
(5) Instantaneous Electric Water Heaters EF=0.92

Title 24 Base Case Design, Option 2

Energy Efficiency Measures to Meet Title 24

R-19 on Metal Deck; cool roof Reflect=0.55, Emittance=0.75

R-19 in Metal Frame Walls

R-0 (un-insulated) slab-on-grade 1st floor

NFRC glazing U=0.57, SHGC=0.407 (COG SHGC=0.38)

Lighting = 0.802 w/sf: Open Office Areas: (300) 2-lamp T8 fixtures @58w each; no lighting controls; (120) 18w recessed CFLs. Small Offices: (280) 2-lamp T8 fixtures, (140) multi-level ocupancy sensors on T8s; (200) 18w recessed CFLs. Support Areas: (160) 18w recessed CFLs; (240) 13w CFL wall sconces; no controls.

(5) 40-ton Packaged VAV units EER=9.5; 78% TE furnaces; standard efficiency fan motors; 20% VAV boxes w/ hot water reheat; DDC controls; differential temp. integrated air economizers

R-8 duct insulation w/ ducts in conditioned

(5) Instantaneous Electric Water Heaters EF=0.92

Title 24 Base Case Design, Option 3

Energy Efficiency Measures to Meet Title 24

R-26 on Metal Deck, no cool roof

R-19 in Metal Frame Walls

R-0 (un-insulated) slab-on-grade 1st floor

NFRC glazing U=0.57, SHGC=0.544 (COG SHGC=0.54)

Lighting = 0.802 w/sf: Open Office Areas: (300) 2-lamp T8 fixtures @58w each; no lighting controls; (120) 18w recessed CFLs. Small Offices: (280) 2-lamp T8 fixtures, mandatory (on/off) ocupancy sensors on T8s; (200) 18w recessed CFLs. Support Areas: (160) 18w recessed CFLs; (240) 13w CFL wall sconces; no controls. Built-up VAV system, 80% boiler, 180-ton screw chiller 1.2 kw/ton, one AHU per floor, standard efficiency VSD fan motors; 20% VAV boxes w/ hot water reheat; DDC controls; differential temp. integrated air economizers

R-8 duct insulation w/ ducts in conditioned

(5) Instantaneous Electric Water Heaters EF=0.92

Incremental Cost Estimate to Exceed Title 24 by 15% Nonresidential Prototype: 52,900 SF, Option 1

Energy Efficiency Measures to Exceed Title 24 by 15%			Increm	ent	al Cost E	Estimate		
	Type		Min	8	Max		Avg	
R-26 on Metal Deck; cool roof Reflect=0.70, Emittance=0.75								
10,580 sf @ \$0.90 to \$1.60/sf	Upgrade	\$	9,522	\$	16,928	\$	13,225	
R-19 in Metal Frame Walls	-	\$	<u> 129</u> 9	\$	02	\$	220	
R-0 (un-insulated) slab-on-grade 1st floor		\$	(54	\$	1.7	\$	-	
NFRC glazing U=0.573, SHGC=0.312 (COG SHGC=0.27) 16,000 sf @ \$1.00 to \$2.00/sf	Upgrade	\$	16,000	\$	32,000	\$	24,000	
Lighting = 0.696 w/sf: Open Office Areas: (160) HO 2-lamp T8 fixtures @74w each; no lighting controls; (120) 18w recessed CFLs. Small Offices: (280) 2-lamp T8 fixtures, (140) multi-level ocupancy sensors on T8s; (200) 18w recessed CFLs. Support Areas: (160) 18w recessed CFLs; (240) 13w CFL wall sconces; no controls. Net saving of \$36 to \$40 per new fixture in open offices because of a total reduction of 46% of T8 fixtures in these areas	Upgrade	\$	(5,760)	\$	(6,400)	\$	(6,080)	
(5) 40-ton Packaged VAV units EER=9.5; 78% TE furnaces; Premium efficiency fan motors; 20% VAV boxes w/ hot water reheat; DDC controls; differential temp. integrated air economizers	Upgrade	\$	54,400	\$	81,350	\$	67,875	
R-8 duct insulation w/ ducts in conditioned		\$	= 0	\$		\$	-	
92% RE boiler for service hot water	Upgrade	\$	8,000	\$	12,000	\$	10,000	
Total Incremental Cost of Energy Efficiency Measures:			82,162	\$	135,878	\$	109,020	
Total Incremental Cost per Square Foot:				\$	2.57	\$	2.06	

Incremental Cost Estimate to Exceed Title 24 by 15% Nonresidential Prototype: 52,900 SF, Option 2

Energy Efficiency Measures to Exceed Title 24 by 15%			Increme	ent	ental Cost Estimate			
(1000)44	Туре	i C	Min	8	Max		Avg	
R-26 on Metal Deck; cool roof Reflect=0.72, Emittance=0.75				0.		90		
10,580 sf @ \$0.90 to \$1.60/sf	Upgrade	\$	9,522	\$	16,928	\$	13,225	
R-19 in Metal Frame Walls	=	\$	22 €	\$	© <u>—</u>	\$	724	
R-0 (un-insulated) slab-on-grade 1st floor		\$	-	\$	170	\$		
NFRC glazing U=0.54, SHGC=0.30 (COG SHGC=0.27) 16,000 sf @ \$3.00 to \$4.00/sf	Upgrade	\$	48,000	\$	64,000	\$	56,000	
Lighting = 0.696 w/sf: Open Office Areas: (160) HO 2-lamp T8 fixtures @74w each; no lighting controls; (120) 18w recessed CFLs. Small Offices: (280) 2-lamp T8 fixtures, (140) multi-level occupancy sensors on T8s; (200) 18w recessed CFLs. Support Areas: (160) 18w recessed CFLs; (240) 13w CFL wall sconces; no controls. Net saving of \$38 to \$42 per new fixture in open offices because of a total reduction of 46% of T8 fixtures in these areas	Upgrade	\$	(5,760)	\$	(6,400)	\$	(6,080)	
(5) 40-ton Packaged VAV units EER=9.5; 78% TE furnaces; Premium efficiency fan motors; 20% VAV boxes w/ hot water reheat; DDC controls; differential temp. integrated air economizers	Upgrade	\$	1,500	\$	2,500	\$	2,000	
R-8 duct insulation w/ ducts in conditioned	=	\$	=	\$	=	\$	-	
92% RE boiler for service hot water Upgrade			8,000	\$	12,000	\$	10,000	
Total Incremental Cost of Energy Efficiency Measures:			61,262	\$	89,028	\$	75,145	
Total Incremental Cost per Square Foot:			1.16	\$	1.68	\$	1.42	

Incremental Cost Estimate to Exceed Title 24 by 15% Nonresidential Prototype: 52,900 SF, Option 3

Energy Efficiency Measures to Exceed Title 24 by 15%			Increme	ent	al Cost E	Estimate		
stational color	Type		Min	2	Max		Avg	
R-26 on Metal Deck, no cool roof	=	\$	=)	\$	13.	\$		
R-19 in Metal Frame Walls	-	\$	₩)	\$	74	\$	-	
R-0 (un-insulated) slab-on-grade 1st floor	_	\$	©×.	\$	92	\$	12 6	
NFRC glazing U=0.57, SHGC=0.312 (COG SHGC=0.27)								
16,000 sf @ \$1.50 to \$2.50/sf	Upgrade	\$	24,000	\$	40,000	\$	32,000	
Lighting = 0.797 w/sf: Open Office Areas: (300) 2-lamp T8 fixtures					80			
@58w each; no lighting controls; (120) 18w recessed CFLs. Small								
Offices: (280) 2-lamp T8 fixtures, (140) multi-level occupancy								
sensors on T8s @ \$75 to \$100 each; (200) 18w recessed CFLs.								
Support Areas: (160) 18w recessed CFLs; (240) 13w CFL wall								
sconces; no controls.	Upgrade	\$	10,500	\$	14,000	\$	12,250	
Built-up VAV system, 80% boiler, 180-ton screw chiller 1.2 kw/ton,	90 %							
one AHU per floor, standard efficiency VSD fan motors; 20% VAV								
boxes w/ hot water reheat; DDC controls; differential temp.								
integrated air economizers	-	\$	₩	\$		\$	-	
R-8 duct insulation w/ ducts in conditioned	=	\$	-	\$	-	\$	3	
DHW from 80% RE boiler used for space heating Upgrade		\$	6,000	\$	10,000	\$	8,000	
Total Incremental Cost of Energy Efficiency Measures:			40,500	\$	64,000	\$	52,250	
Total Incremental Cost per Square Foot:			0.77	\$	1.21	\$	0.99	

3.0 Cost Effectiveness

The summary of results in this section are based upon the following assumptions:

- Annual site electricity (kWh) and natural gas (therms) saved are calculated using a beta version of the state-approved energy compliance software for the 2008 Building Energy Efficiency Standards, Micropas 8.
- Average residential utility rates of \$0.159/kWh for electricity and \$0.94/therm for natural gas in current constant dollars; nonresidential rates are time-of-use rate schedules modeled explicitly in the DOE-2.1E computer simulation: Southern California Edison GS-1 schedule for electricity and Southern California Gas GN-10 schedule for natural gas.
- No change (i.e., no inflation or deflation) of utility rates in constant dollars
- No increase in summer temperatures from global climate change

The Simple Payback data includes a cost-effectiveness analysis of the Ordinance with respect to each case study building design and assumes:

- No external cost of global climate change -- and corresponding value of additional investment in energy efficiency and CO₂ reduction is included
- The cost of money (e.g, opportunity cost) invested in the incremental cost of energy efficiency measures is not included.

3.1 New Single Family Houses

<u>Climate Zone 6: 15% Better Than Title 24</u> Single Family

	Total	Total		Annual Energy	Simple
	Annual KWh	Annual Therms	Incremental	Cost Savings	Payback
Building Description	Saving	Saving	First Cost (\$)	(\$)	(Years)
2,025 sf (Option 1)	87	49	\$1,782	\$60	29.8
2,025 sf (Option 2)	81	50	\$1,283	\$60	21.4
Averages:	84	50	\$1,533	\$60	25.6

Annual Reduction in CO2-equivalent: 618 lb./building-year 0.30 lb./sq.ft.-year

	Total	Total		Annual Energy	Simple
	Annual KWh	Annual Therms	Incremental	Cost Savings	Payback
Building Description	Saving	Saving	First Cost (\$)	(\$)	(Years)
4,500 sf (Option 1)	194	44	\$2,803	\$72	38.8
4,500 sf (Option 2)	207	43	\$2,481	\$73	33.8
4,500 sf (Option 3)	189	45	\$2,439	\$72	33.7
Averages:	197	44	\$2,574	\$73	35.4

Annual Reduction in CO2-equivalent: 601 lb./building-year 0.13 lb./sq.ft.-year

3.2 Low-rise Multi-family Building

Climate Zone 6: 15% Better Than Title 24

Low-rise Apartments

	Total	Total		Annual Energy	Simple
	Annual KWh	Annual Therms	Incremental	Cost Savings	Payback
Building Description	Saving	Saving	First Cost (\$)	(\$)	(Years)
8-Unit, 8,442 sf (Option 1)	470	227	\$11,001	\$2 88	38.2
8-Unit, 8,442 sf (Option 2)	-1221	483	\$8,939	\$260	34.4
Averages:	-376	355	\$9,970	\$274	36.3

Annual Reduction in CO2-equivalent: 3,963 lb./building-year 0.47 lb./sq.ft.-year

3.3 High-rise Multi-family Building

Climate Zone 6: 15% Better Than Title 24

High-rise Apartments

	Total	Total		Annual Energy	Simple
	Annual KWh	Annual Therms	Incremental	Cost Savings	Payback
Building Description	Saving	Saving	First Cost (\$)	(\$)	(Years)
36,800 sf (Option 1)	1655	1110	\$45,867	\$1,307	35.1
36,800 sf (Option 2)	4800	555	\$27,790	\$1,285	21.6
36,800 sf (Option 3)	27657	-658	\$40,560	\$3,779	10.7
Averages:	11371	336	\$38,072	\$2,123	22.5

Annual Reduction in CO2-equivalent: 11143 lb./building-year 0.30 lb./sq.ft.-year

3.4 Nonresidential Buildings

Climate Zone 6: 15% Better Than Title 24

1-Story Office Building

	Total	Total		Annual Energy	Simple
	Annual KWh	Annual Therms	Incremental	Cost Savings	Payback
Building Description	Saving	Saving	First Cost (\$)	(\$)	(Years)
10,580 sf (Option 1)	13427	-53	\$12,100	\$2,957	4.1
10,580 sf (Option 2)	5481	356	\$12,050	\$1,400	8.6
10,580 sf (Option 3)	12307	17	\$13,100	\$1,026	12.8
Averages:	10405	107	\$12,417	\$1,794	8.5

Annual Reduction in CO2-equivalent: 5,924 lb./building-year 0.56 lb./sq.ft.-year

Climate Zone 6: 15% Better Than Title 24

5-Story Office Building

	Total	Total		Annual Energy	Simple
	Annual KWh	Annual Therms	Incremental	Cost Savings	Payback
Building Description	Saving	Saving	First Cost (\$)	(\$)	(Years)
52,900 sf (Option 1)	87180	-3439	\$109,020	\$17,289	6.3
52,900 sf (Option 2)	75234	-2433	\$75,145	\$15,720	4.8
52,900 sf (Option 3)	99931	-2733	\$52,250	\$21,244	2.5
Averages:	87448	-2868	\$78,805	\$18,084	4.5

Annual Reduction in CO2-equivalent: 5,964 lb./building-year 0.11 lb./sq.ft.-year

Conclusions

Regardless of the building design, occupancy profile and number of stories, the incremental improvement in overall annual energy performance of buildings in exceeding the 2008 Title 24 Building Energy Efficiency Standards appears cost-effective. However, each building's overall design, occupancy type and specific design choices may allow for a large range of incremental first cost and payback. As with simply meeting the requirements of the Title 24 energy standards, a permit applicant complying with the additional energy requirements of a local green building ordinance should carefully analyze building energy performance to reduce incremental first cost and the payback for the required additional energy efficiency measures.